GST: Where Competent Authority had arrested assessee under section 69 for offence punishable under section 132 alleging that he had deprived Government Exchequer of more than Rs. 100 crores of GST by way of creating and utilising irregular and inadmissible ITC, application for grant of bail was to be rejected

[2019] 108 taxmann.com 191 (Chhattisgarh) HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

Pankaj Agrawal

V.

Union of India\*

ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL, J. M.CR.C. NO. 3769 OF 2019 JUNE 24, 2019

Section 132, read with section 69, of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017/Section 132, read with section 69, of the Chhattisgarh Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 - Offences - Punishments for certain offences - Competent Authority had arrested assessee under section 69 for offence punishable under sections 132(1)(b), 132(1)(c), 132(1)(k) alleging that he had deprived Government Exchequer of more than Rs. 100 crores of GST by way of creating and utilising irregular and inadmissible ITC - Assessee filed application before High Court for grant of regular bail - Whether considering allegations made against assessee and seriousness of offence and involvement of money in offence, bail application deserved to be rejected - Held, yes [Paras 6 and 7] [In favour of revenue]

(NR)

## **FACTS**

- The Competent Authority had arrested the assessee under section 69 for offence punishable under sections 132(1)(b), 132(1)(c) and 132(1)(k) of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017.
- The assessee filed an application before the High Court for grant of regular bail.

## **HELD**

The case of the prosecution is that the assessee is the Director/Proprietor of the firms Mangal Commercial Private Limited, Raipur and S.K.T. and Sons, Bilaspur. On 5-5-2019, a search was conducted by the Officers of Kendriya Utpad Shulk Asuchna Mahanideshalaya Kshetriya Ikai, Jamshedpur in the house of the assessee and recovered books of account, registers and certain fake invoices. It is alleged that Hind Infra Buildcon (HIB) is a bogus entity created for the purpose of originating irregular and inadmissible input tax credit (ITC) to pass on to its beneficiaries. The recipients of HIB were created as an additional layer to create a web of such transactions to misguide the authorities and to shift the burden and responsibility to some pseudo-characters fabricated by master minds of such rackets. Allegedly the firms

which are major beneficiaries of aforesaid transactions are being created, owned, operated, controlled and managed by the assessee. It is further alleged that total quantum of circular/semicircular transactions made through the aforesaid bogus entities is to the tune of more than Rs. 500 crores which deprived the Government Exchequer of more than Rs. 100 crores of GST by way of creating and utilising irregular and inadmissible ITC by the assessee. On the said background of facts, the assessee has been arrested by the Competent Authority and he is in jail since 7-5-2019. [Para 2]

- The revenue submits that there is sufficient evidence available to connect the assessee with the offence in question. He has been arrested by the Competent Authority as provided under section 69. The assessee has deprived the Government Exchequer of more than Rs. 100 crores of GST. [Para 4]
- Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the allegations made against the assessee, the evidence collected by the prosecution and further considering the seriousness of the offence and involvement of the money in the offence, the Court is not inclined to release the assessee on bail. [Para 6]
- In view of the aforesaid, the bail application deserved to be rejected. [Para 7]

Nitin Pasari, Goutam Khetrapal and Kapil Maini, Advs. for the Appellant. Manish Sharma and Bhupendra Singh, Adv. for the Respondent.

## **JUDGMENT**

- 1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the Applicant, who has been arrested in connection with DGGI/F. No.157/DGGI/JRU/ITC/Gr-B./2018 registered at Kendriya Utpad Shulk Asuchna Mahanideshalaya Kshetriya Ikai, Jamshedpur for offence punishable under Sections 132(1)(b), 132(1)(c), 132(1)(k), 132(1)(i) and Section 16 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (henceforth 'the Act of 2017').
- 2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the present Applicant is the Director/Proprietor of the firms M/s Mangal Commercial Private Limited, Raipur and M/s S.K.T. and Sons, Bilaspur. On 5.5.2019, a search was conducted by the officers of Kendriya Utpad Shulk Asuchna Mahanideshalaya Kshetriya Ikai, Jamshedpur in the house of the Applicant situated at Avanti Vihar, Raipur and recovered books of accounts, registers and certain fake invoices. It is alleged that M/s Hind Infra Buildcon (HIB) is a bogus entity created for the purpose of originating irregular and inadmissible ITC to pass on to its beneficiaries. The recipients of M/s HIB were created as an additional layer to create a web of such transactions to misguide the authorities and to shift the burden and responsibility to some pseudo-characters fabricated by master minds of such rackets. Allegedly, the firms which are major beneficiaries of aforesaid transactions are being created, owned, operated, controlled and managed by the present Applicant. It is further alleged that total quantum of circular/semicircular transactions made through the aforesaid bogus entities is to the tune of more than Rs.500 Crores which deprived the Government Exchequer of more than Rs.100 Crores of GST by way of creating and utilising irregular and inadmissible ITC by the Applicant. On the said background of facts, the Applicant has been arrested by the competent authority and he is in jail since 7.5.2019.
- **3.** Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. Without any authority, he has been arrested and detained in jail. At present, no complaint is filed against him at any Court nor is any offence registered against him at any place. It is further submitted that entire transactions of the Applicant have been through bank

transactions and as such it cannot be suggested that the Applicant has received any money in his pocket. The Commissioner or the authorised officer does not have any authority or jurisdiction to arrest an assessee under Section 69 of the Act of 2017 even prior to the determination of tax evaded under Chapter 15 thereof. The concerned authorised officer wrongly exercised his power under Section 69(3) of the Act of 2017. The Applicant is in custody since 7.5.2019. He is a permanent resident of Raipur. There is no likelihood of his absconding or tampering the prosecution evidence. Therefore, he may be granted benefit of bail.

- **4.** Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondent submits that there is sufficient evidence available to connect the Applicant with the offence in question. He has been arrested by the authorised officers as provided under Section 69 of the Act of 2017. The Applicant has deprived the Government Exchequer of more than Rs.100 Crores of GST.
- **5.** I have heard Learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary with due care.
- **6.** Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the allegations made against the Applicant, the evidence collected by the prosecution, the submissions put-forth on behalf of the parties and further considering the seriousness of the offence and involvement of the money in the offence, I am not inclined to release the Applicant on bail.
- **7.** Accordingly, the bail application is rejected.

s.k. jain

\*In favour of revenue.